

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,515	HEINRICH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael P. Barker	1626	

All Participants:

(1) Michael P. Barker.

(2) Csaba Henter.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 16 March 2007

Time: 2pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
112, 101

Claims discussed:
4, 10, and 11

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner alerted Applicant as to Claim 4, remove preferably; Claims 10 and 13, substantial duplicates; and Claim 11, "Use of". Applicant subsequently amended Claim 4 and canceled Claims 10 and 11.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)